

**North American Association of Issuing Bodies
Behind the Meter Subcommittee
Final Meeting Notes
February 7, 2006 2:00- 3:00pm EDT**

Telephone Participants:

- Paul Belval, DBH/NEPOOL
- Joe Kerecman, PJM EIS/GATS
- Aleka Niedermier, CRS
- Jan Pepper, Clean Power Markets/NJ SRECs
- Meredith Wingate, CRS

Introduction:

The purpose of this call was to:

- Identify the main issues that need to be addressed by the Behind-the-Meter (BTM) Subcommittee.

Issues:

(1) Transferring BTM certificates from one system to another: The immediate need is to figure out a way to transfer certificates from the NJ SRECs tracking program into PJM GATS. There was a discussion as to whether this issue should be addressed here or during the transferring certificates discussion.

(2) Verifying BTM generation data: The issue is whether or not meters need to be used versus engineering estimates and whether the meter readings need to be audited (they are self-reported for the most part).

In the NJ SRECs Program, systems 10kW or greater are allowed to self-report actual meter or inverter readings. These readings are verified by an inspector each year. For systems under 10kW, the SRECs are issued based on engineering estimates and 10% to 20% of these systems are audited.

In GIS, BTM systems above 5MW have to be members of the Market Settlement System (i.e. they must use a revenue quality meter and the meter information is telemetered.) BTM systems under 5MW can self-report their generation. BTM RECs aren't eligible in CT unless they are verified by one of the states.

Meredith asked whether there was a desire to eliminate the option of self reporting? The main issue is the cost of meters and telemetering services. Jan noted that small PV owners are looking to pay \$100-\$200 but a larger system owned by a business might be able to afford around \$500. Joe reported that PJM has been looking at a product developed in Italy (Ecshalon) and is discussing with the states whether they can require metering for very small systems.

(3) Other issues: A few other issues were raised, though most people thought these were not high priority items:

- frequency of reporting
- reconciling sub-MWhs and rounding

Announcements about Upcoming Meetings:

The call adjourned at 3:00pm EDT. The group will continue this discussion at the next Behind the Meter Subcommittee call which will take place on March 15, 2006 at 2:00pm EDT.